

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,041	11/18/2003	Roger B. Milne	X-1407 US	7435
24309	7590 10/27/2005		EXAMINER	
XILINX, INC		DO, THUAN V		
ATTN: LEGA 2100 LOGIC	AL DEPARTMENT DR	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95124			2825	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			را ^ن			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,041	MILNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thuan Do	2825				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO nte, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	November 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-24 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12 and 19-24</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/18/22003 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the 8	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri						
application from the International Bure	•	Treceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to application filed on 11/18/2003. Claims 1-12,14-24 are pending in this office action. Claim 13 is withdrawn.

Restriction/Election

Group 1, claims 1-12,14-24 are elected by applicant's representative from a restriction and pending in this office action.

Group 2, claim 13 is not elected from species.

A telephone interview with Kim Kanzaki on 10/24/2005 to select group 1. The applicant is requested to cancel the claims of non-selected claim in the next response.

Claim objections

Claims 1 and 14, the terms "one pass through the high-level blocks" and "consistent with the clock requirements" are unclear to what applicants intend to mean especially how does the one pass operate in FIGs. 2, and 4? Clarification or correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC f 11 2

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph 0f35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,041 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Schubert et al. Pat. No. 6823497.

Regarding claim 1: Schubert teaches a method comprising:

A processor-implemented method (col. 7, lines 41-44) for compiling high-level blocks of an electronic hardware design in a high-level modeling system (HLMS) into hardware description language (HDL) components (col. 2, lines 40-50), comprising:

establishing clock requirements for the electronic hardware design (col. 5, lines 8-22); and

generating in one pass through the high-level blocks, HDL components that are consistent with the clock requirements (col. 2, lines 28-64 and col. col. 63, lines 42-67 for generating HDL component requirements).

Regarding claim 2: Schubert teaches a method of claim 1 with connections (Fig. 1B).

Regarding claim 3: Schubert teaches a method of claim 1 with:

traversing depth-first a hierarchy of high-level blocks (col. 13, lines 6-15);

generating, while traversing the hierarchy, associated compiler blocks for the high-level blocks, wherein each compiler block includes data that describes hardware ports associated with a high-level block and a name of an HDL component to be used to implement the compiler block (col. 13, lines 6-15); and

Application/Control Number: 10/717,041

Art Unit: 2825

generating, while traversing the hierarchy, an HDL component from a compiler block when an associated high-level block in the hierarchy has no sub-blocks (col. 6, lines 38-44).

The remaining claims of 102(e) section contain features similar to the rejection of claims 1-3 and rejected in the rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-12 and 19-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The reason for allowance is that the prior art of record does not teach the dependent claims with all other features of corresponding independent claims.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan Do whose telephone number is 571-272-1891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone numbers for proceeding this application is 571 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0596.

Thuan Do

Umando

Primary examiner 10/25/2005